

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,712	09/18/2003	Richard E. Gady	60,130-1890; 00MRA0574	9034
26096	7590 12/06/2004		EXAMINER	
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD			DEPUMPO, DANIEL G	
SUITE 350	TI LL ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BIRMINGHA	M, MI 48009		3611	

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Antique Occurrence	10/666,712	GADY ET AL.	a
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel G. DePumpo	3611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 O	<u>ctober 2004</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 15-35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15-35 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	= ' ' ' '		, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	age
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/26/04.	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		52)

Application/Control Number: 10/666,712

Art Unit: 3611

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Page 2

- 2. Claims 34 and 35 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. These new claims recite that the second output shaft is <u>only</u> coupled to the power source during a wheel slippage condition. This new limitation is not supported by the original specification. According to at least paragraph 22, apparently the second output shaft is coupled to the power source for a brief time (after wheel slippage has been controlled) while the system determines when ground conditions have improved sufficiently.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,712 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 15-23 and 25-35 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yasuda.

Yasuda teaches a device and method as claimed. The device includes an input shaft 6, a first axle output shaft 20, a second axle output shaft (connects 32 to 33), and a controller 1. The controller performs traction control by controlling power or braking (col. 3, lines 28-33). This traction control step inherently brings the axles shafts within a common rotational speed range. After the traction control step, the clutch 31 is activated to couple the axle output shafts together as discussed at col. 3, lines 48-53.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasuda.

As set forth above, Yasuda teaches substantially all that is claimed, but does not specifically disclose whether the gear assembly includes three gears as claimed. Official Notice

Application/Control Number: 10/666,712 Page 4

Art Unit: 3611

is taken that transfer cases commonly include three gears as claimed. It would have been obvious to use such a transfer case, due to their ready commercial availability and known suitability for this purpose.

7. Applicant's arguments filed 10/26/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claim 15, applicant argues that Yasuda does not teach "to engage or drive the front axle only when certain conditions are met". The examiner notes that this limitation does not appear in the claim. Applicant points out that the claim recites that the second output shaft is "selectively" driven by the input shaft. However, this broad recitation does not require that the second output shaft be sometimes disengaged. Instead, this limitation could read on a device where the second output shaft is "selectively driven" by an apportioned level of power through the transfer case. Regardless, this issue is moot because Yasuda specifically states that the apportionment of transmitted torque between the principal and auxiliary drive wheels changes from 0:100 to 50:50. Clearly, when the torque is transmitted at a ratio of 0:100, the auxiliary drive shaft (e.g. the second output shaft) is not being driven by the input shaft.

Regarding the examiner's taking of Official Notice of the gear configuration of claim 24, applicant has requested that the examiner provide a reference showing the gear configuration. The examiner does not consider it necessary to provide another reference showing this configuration because applicant has already done so. In the IDS filed with the original application (*i.e.* 9/18/03), applicant cited U.S. Patent No. 5,335,764 to Leitner. Figure 1 of this reference clearly shows the claimed gear configuration. It is unclear why applicant would

Art Unit: 3611

request such a reference when applicant's own actions (i.e. the submission of the original IDS) show that applicant is well aware of such a configuration.

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel G. DePumpo whose telephone number is 703 308-1113. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703 308 1113. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,712 Page 6

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 3611

dgd 11/30/04